INFER
INTERNATIONAL NETWORK FOR ECONOMIC RESEARCH

INFER BRIEF SERIES 3 | 2025

INTERNATIONAL NETWORK FOR ECONOMIC RESEARCH

Do International Reserve Holdings Still Predict Economic Crises? Insights from Recent Machine Learning Techniques

Nikolaos Giannakis[1], Periklis Gogas[1], Theophilos Papadimitriou[1], Jamel Saadaoui[2] Emmanouil Sofianos[3]

[1] Democritus University of Thrace, Komotini, Greece, [2] University of Paris 8, LED, Saint-Denis, France, [3] University of Strasbourg, University of Lorraine, BETA, CNRS, Strasbourg, France

This brief summarizes an innovative study on predicting currency, banking, and debt crises across 79 countries between 1970 and 2017. Leveraging advanced machine learning methods, the authors assess the predictive power of international reserve holdings alongside traditional economic indicators. Their results demonstrate that international reserves remain a significant predictor of crises, emphasizing the crucial role reserves play in stabilizing economies. The best-performing model accurately predicted approximately 81% of crisis events, providing robust evidence for policymakers on the continued importance of maintaining adequate reserves.

Introduction

Economic crises have shaped the trajectory of nations, influencing not only macroeconomic stability but the very fabric of societies affected by their disruptive force. Currency collapses, banking sector failures, and sovereign debt defaults are not mere financial phenomena—they reverberate through employment, social cohesion, political stability, and even public health. The ability to anticipate such crises is therefore not solely a matter of fiscal prudence; it is a necessity for preserving the welfare and well-being of millions, if not billions, of people around the globe.

Historically, the international financial architecture has recognized the critical importance of preventive tools. Among these, international reserves have been accorded a special status. Reserves—typically comprising foreign currency assets held by central banks—act as essential shock absorbers against external volatility. They can be deployed to defend a nation's currency

against speculative attacks, provide liquidity to domestic financial institutions during panic, and facilitate external debt servicing in times of restricted capital flows.

Yet, the contemporary financial environment is markedly different from the world in which the concept of reserve adequacy was first formalized. The globalization of capital markets, proliferation of financial instruments, emergence of complex cross-border exposures, and the rise of digital currencies all challenge the traditional paradigms. Critics question whether the accumulation of reserves is still a rational strategy or merely a costly insurance premium, especially for developing economies facing pressing development needs. Nonetheless, the consensus remains that reserves—when adequately sized and strategically managed—constitute a crucial line of defense against systemic shocks.

The urgency of refining our tools for crisis prediction and prevention is underscored by recent history. The 2008 global financial crisis, triggered by the collapse of the subprime mortgage market in the United States, rapidly cascaded into a worldwide credit crunch, devastating economies from Iceland to Indonesia. The interconnectedness of financial institutions and unanticipated contagion pathways exposed vulnerabilities that had been underestimated or overlooked.

A decade later, the COVID-19 pandemic unleashed a different but equally profound economic shock. Supply chains were severed, demand collapsed, and financial markets entered uncharted territory. Governments and central banks responded with unprecedented stimulus packages and monetary easing, but the limits of such interventions became evident as public and private debts soared.

These episodes underscore the inadequacy of static or simplistic early-warning systems and the necessity for robust, adaptive models. Traditional econometric approaches, while valuable, often fail to capture the nonlinearities and complex interactions present in real-world financial systems. The integration of advanced machine learning provides a promising avenue for overcoming these limitations, offering the potential to identify subtle patterns and risk factors that might otherwise elude detection.

International reserves, long considered the cornerstone of crisis management, must be re-examined through the lens of these new analytical tools. Are they still the reliable buffer they once were, or has their predictive power diminished? Can we now quantitatively determine optimal reserve levels and their interaction with other macroeconomic variables to maximize resilience? The answers to these questions bear significant implications for policymakers navigating an increasingly turbulent economic landscape.

Dataset Compilation

To rigorously evaluate the predictive value of international reserves and other macroeconomic indicators, an extensive dataset was assembled, spanning 79 countries across nearly five decades, from 1970 to 2017. The scope of the data provides a panoramic view of both advanced and emerging markets, encompassing diverse policy regimes, economic structures, and crisis experiences.

The dataset meticulously documents 184 episodes of economic crisis—defined through internationally recognized criteria involving currency, banking, and sovereign debt distress. For comparative analysis, 2,896 country-year observations classified as non-

crisis periods were included, amplifying the breadth and depth of the investigation.

A total of 40 macroeconomic and financial indicators were analyzed, reflecting a holistic approach that transcends narrow focus. These include, but are not limited to:

- International reserves as a percentage of GDP
- Inflation rates
- Current account balances
- Real GDP growth
- External debt ratios
- Capital inflows and outflows
- Exchange rate regimes
- Fiscal balances
- Credit growth

Each indicator was selected based on its theoretical and empirical relevance to crisis dynamics, as established in the literature.

Advanced Machine Learning Methods Applied

Recognizing the inherent imbalances in crisis data—crises are rare compared to stable periods—the research deployed eight state-of-the-art machine learning algorithms, each tailored to extract maximum signal from noisy, skewed datasets. The methodologies included:

- Logistic Regression (benchmark): A classical approach providing baseline estimates and interpretability.
- K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN): Capitalizes on pattern recognition by comparing each observation with its nearest data points.
- Support Vector Machines (SVM): Identifies optimal boundaries between crisis and non-crisis periods in high-dimensional space.
- Random Forests and Balanced Random Forests: Ensemble methods that aggregate predictions from multiple decision trees, with the latter specifically tuned for imbalanced classes.
- Balanced Bagging Classifier: A variant that enhances minority class prediction by resampling.
- Easy Ensemble Classifier: Integrates multiple balanced sub-models.
- Gradient Boosted Trees (GBT): Iteratively improves accuracy by focusing on misclassified instances.

To address the "class imbalance" problem—where crisis observations are vastly outnumbered by non-crisis cases—a combination of undersampling (reducing the majority class) and weighting (boosting the significance of crisis cases) was employed. This ensured that models did not systematically underpredict crises, a common flaw in standard approaches.

Key Results from Comparative Model Testing

After exhaustive model training and validation, the Balanced Random Forest algorithm emerged as the superior predictive tool. It achieved an overall balanced accuracy rate of 72.91%, a figure that reflects not only its ability to correctly identify crises but also its restraint in minimizing false alarms. Impressively, it correctly anticipated 149 out of 184 documented crises, corresponding to an 81% true positive rate. This represents a substantial improvement over traditional methods and demonstrates the promise of machine learning in economic prediction.

The Continued Importance of International Reserve Holdings

Among the 40 variables scrutinized, international reserves stood out as one of the most powerful predictors of crisis resilience.

Their importance was corroborated across various metrics and confirmed through Variable Importance Measures (VIM). This finding dispels any notion that reserves have lost their relevance in the modern era. On the contrary, appropriate levels of reserves remain a central pillar in the architecture of crisis prevention.

Other influential variables included inflation rates—which emerged as a critical early-warning signal—and current account balances, which reflect broader external vulnerabilities. This constellation of variables, when dynamically integrated into predictive models, offers policymakers a robust dashboard for monitoring financial health.

Variable Importance and Predictive Mechanisms

Through the use of Partial Dependence Plots (PDPs), the authors elucidated not only which indicators matter but how they interact with crisis probability. For example:

- When international reserves increase from 0% to approximately 15% of GDP, the probability of a crisis declines sharply. However, beyond this threshold, the marginal benefit of accumulating additional reserves diminishes markedly—suggesting the existence of an "optimal zone" for reserve holdings.
- Inflation exerts a non-linear effect. When inflation rates rise above 5%, crisis probability escalates abruptly, highlighting the dangers of unchecked price instability.

These non-linearities reinforce the inadequacy of "one-size-fits-all" policies and underscore the need for tailored, data-driven strategies.

The Role of International Reserves in Crisis Prevention

International reserves serve several interrelated policy functions:

- Liquidity Provision: Central banks can deploy reserves to defend the currency against speculative attacks, manage exchange rate volatility, and reassure markets during shocks.
- Debt Rollover Risk Mitigation: Adequate reserves enable governments to meet external obligations when market financing becomes unavailable, reducing the risk of default.
- Capital Outflow Buffer: During periods of panic or contagion, reserves provide a vital cushion, allowing countries to absorb sudden capital flight without resorting to drastic measures that could exacerbate economic distress.

The research highlights that these mechanisms are not merely theoretical; they have been observed in practice during past crises, reinforcing the strategic value of reserve management.

Impact of Inflation Control

Inflation, often described as the "silent tax," erodes purchasing power and undermines confidence in economic management. Effective inflation control, as the study demonstrates, is a linchpin in crisis prevention. Specifically:

- Maintaining inflation rates below 5% is associated with a markedly reduced probability of encountering a crisis episode. This benchmark provides policymakers with a tangible target for price stability frameworks.
- Central bank independence and credibility are decisive in anchoring

inflation expectations. Where monetary authorities operate free of political interference, inflation outcomes tend to be more favorable, reducing systemic risk.

By integrating inflation metrics into early-warning models, authorities can detect brewing vulnerabilities at an earlier stage and intervene proactively.

Drawing on the comprehensive empirical analysis, the authors propose a multifaceted policy approach:

1. Reserve Adequacy Management

- Optimal Threshold Setting: Policy should target the accumulation of international reserves equivalent to approximately 15% of GDP. This achieves the lion's share of crisis risk reduction without incurring unnecessary opportunity costs.
- Active Buffer Deployment: Rather than passively accumulating reserves, authorities should be prepared to use them decisively to stabilize financial markets and maintain confidence during episodes of heightened turbulence.

2. Inflation Control and Monitoring

- Strict Targeting: Implement rigorous inflation targeting regimes, prioritizing the maintenance of inflation below the critical 5% threshold.
- Institutional Strengthening: Enhance the independence and technical capacity of central banks to ensure that monetary policy remains focused on long-term stability rather than short-term expediency.

3. Integrated Early-Warning Systems (EWS)

- Adoption of Machine Learning Models: Policymakers should institutionalize the use of machine learning-based early-warning systems for real-time crisis monitoring.
- Holistic Metrics Integration: Reserve adequacy, inflation, and other high-importance indicators should be systematically incorporated into these models, allowing for nuanced, timely risk assessment.
- Continuous Model Updating: As financial systems evolve, so too must the predictive frameworks. Machine learning models should be recalibrated regularly with new data to maintain accuracy.

Arsenal for crisis prevention

For policymakers, the message is clear: strategic management of international reserves and stringent inflation control are indispensable tools in the arsenal of crisis prevention. The integration of sophisticated early-warning systems, leveraging the latest advances in data science, offers an unparalleled opportunity to enhance preparedness and resilience in an era of mounting financial complexity.

As global financial systems continue to evolve, the challenges of tomorrow will demand both the wisdom of traditional economic insights and the precision of modern analytics. The insights from this study provide a compelling blueprint for safeguarding economic stability in an uncertain world, where the only constant is change.

References

Aizenman, J., Ho, S. H., Huynh, L. D. T., Saadaoui, J., & Uddin, G. S. (2024). Real exchange rate and international reserves in the era of financial integration. Journal of International Money and Finance, 141, 103014.

Frankel, J., & Saravelos, G. (2012). Can leading indicators assess country vulnerability? Evidence from the 2008–09 global financial crisis. Journal of International Economics, 87(2), pp. 216-231.

Giannakis, N., Gogas, P., Papadimitriou, T., Saadaoui, J. & Sofianos, E. (2025), *Do International Reserve Holdings Still Predict Economic Crises? Insights from Recent Machine Learning Techniques*. Available at SSRN 5237256 : https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5237256

Website:
https://infer-research.eu/

Contact:
publications@infer.info